



PUBLIC TRANSPARENCY REPORT
2025

Marguerite Adviser S.A

Generated 24-11-2025

About this report

PRI reporting is the largest global reporting project on responsible investment.

It was developed with investors, for investors. PRI signatories are required to report publicly on their responsible investment activities each year. In turn, they receive a number of outputs, including a public and private Transparency Report.

The public Transparency Reports, which are produced using signatories' reported information, provide accountability and support signatories to have internal discussions about their practices and to discuss these with their clients, beneficiaries, and other stakeholders. This public Transparency Report is an export of the signatory's responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2025 reporting period. It includes the signatory's responses to core indicators, as well as responses to plus indicators that the signatory has agreed to make public.

In response to signatory feedback, the PRI has not summarised signatories' responses – the information in this document is presented exactly as it was reported.

For each of the indicators in this document, all options selected by the signatory are presented, including links and qualitative responses. In some indicators, all applicable options are included for additional context.

Disclaimers

Legal Context

PRI recognises that the laws and regulations to which signatories are subject differ by jurisdiction. We do not seek or require any signatory to take an action that is not in compliance with applicable laws. All signatory responses should therefore be understood to be subject to and informed by the legal and regulatory context in which the signatory operates.

Responsible investment definitions

Within the PRI Reporting Framework Glossary, we provide definitions for key terms to guide reporting on responsible investment practices in the Reporting Framework. These definitions may differ from those used or proposed by other authorities and regulatory bodies due to evolving industry perspectives and changing legislative landscapes. Users of this report should be aware of these variations, as they may impact interpretations of the information provided.

Data accuracy

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2025 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

Table of Contents

| Module | Page |
|--|------|
| SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS) | 4 |
| OTHER RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT REPORTING OBLIGATIONS (ORO) | 6 |
| ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO) | 8 |
| POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS) | 17 |
| INFRASTRUCTURE (INF) | 35 |
| CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM) | 43 |

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| SLS 1 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Senior Leadership Statement | GENERAL |

Section 1. Our commitment

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment, and what major responsible investment commitment(s) have you made?

Marguerite invests in infrastructure that brings essential environmental and social value to society and strives to further contribute to the creation of sustainable living environments. We target the building and expansion of infrastructure that contribute to climate change mitigation and adaptation, circular economy, energy security and improvement of digital and transportation services. Besides our investment in asset classes intrinsically delivering environmental and social benefits, Marguerite has defined and implemented responsible investment values and practices as we believe that sustainable operations can create long term value for our investors, limit risks for our assets and generate value for the local communities. Those practices are also in line with the proceeds of our core and historical investors (EIF and five National Promotional Banks). Marguerite has notably defined our fund's core values: a. mitigating climate change and looking after the environment b. promoting social cohesion and access to essential services c. upholding integrity in business activities. These values are translated into our investment approach by: a. quantifying and monitoring our investments' sustainability contributions b. systematically identifying investment-specific ESG topics and defining bespoke action plans for investment c. ensuring adequacy with most relevant regulations, standards and Limited Partners' requirements. These principles are implemented across the investment cycle, from early identification and screening of investment opportunities, through due diligence, investment decision and asset management. We strive to quantify our sustainability contributions, to identify ESG risks and opportunities and implement action plans to improve the positive impact of our investments and the way we do business. The main commitments and outcomes are communicated to our investors through regular reporting on sustainable investment.

Section 2. Annual overview

- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. Details might include, for example, outlining your single most important achievement or describing your general progress on topics such as the following (where applicable):
 - refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
 - stewardship activities with investees and/or with policymakers
 - collaborative engagements
 - attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

In 2024, Marguerite continued to advance its sustainability efforts supporting its investments in sustainable sectors and assets. a. Marguerite has successfully implemented its Sustainability policy and procedures. For all new investments, Marguerite systematically identified and quantified the sustainability contributions and contributions to the fund's commitments. Marguerite also identified the main opportunities, and risks associated to each investment, and how ESG risks can be managed or mitigated. Specific action plans were defined for each of these topics, to be committed prior to the investment, and implemented and monitored during asset management.

- b. Marguerite increased the interaction and engagement with new sponsors in relation to new investments and with existing portfolio companies relaying ESG goals to be achieved (e.g. creation of an ESG committee within Nexun, definition of an ESG policy with Rebi and Ze Energy).
- c. Marguerite continued to improve its ESG data collection tool (Greenscope) to facilitate data collection and integrated the new version of the Invest Europe questionnaire as well as impact indicators, ESG Focus Points indicators and the EU taxonomy assessment.
- d. Marguerite actively participates in industry forums on sustainable investment, and is continuously learning about the most up-to-date developments in ESG best practices, in particular through its membership in the Global Infrastructure Investor Association (GIIA) and engagement with specialized consultants. Marguerite also continues to participate to sustainability scoring exercise specific to infrastructure such as the GRESB.
- e. Mid-2024, Marguerite joined the Net Zero Asset Managers initiative and will disclose its intermediary targets post-revision of the initiative by its founders.
- f. Marguerite led an in-depth climate risk analysis.

Section 3. Next steps

- What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

In 2025/2026, Marguerite will concentrate on implementing its sustainable investment policy and procedures to select and properly assess new investments as well as engage its Portfolio Companies to help them advance their ESG capabilities (e.g. standard ESG procedures, ESG policy development, biodiversity impact management, climate risk management) - in line with the ESG targets disclosed in Marguerite's annual report. As part of Marguerite's climate strategy, we continue to engage companies with large emissions to accelerate emissions reduction (Jifmar, AQS, Conapto, Belgrade EfW).

Section 4. Endorsement

'The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment'.

Name

Nicolas Merigo

Position

CEO

Organisation's Name

Marguerite Adviser S.A

A

'This endorsement applies only to the Senior Leadership Statement and should not be considered an endorsement of the information reported by the above-mentioned organisation in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement serves as a general overview of the above-mentioned organisation's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such. Further, it is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions'.

B

OTHER RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT REPORTING OBLIGATIONS (ORO)

OTHER RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT REPORTING OBLIGATIONS

OTHER RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT REPORTING OBLIGATIONS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| ORO 1 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Other Responsible Investment Reporting Obligations | 6 |

During the reporting year, to which international or regional ESG-related legislation(s) and/or regulation(s) did your organisation report?

- (A) Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) [European Union]
- (B) Directive on AIFM (2011/61/EU) [European Union]
- (C) Enhancing climate-related disclosures by asset managers, life insurers and FCA-regulated pension providers (PS21/24) [United Kingdom]
- (D) EU Taxonomy Regulation [European Union]
- (E) Improving shareholder engagement and increasing transparency around stewardship (PS19/13) [United Kingdom]
- (F) IORP II (Directive 2016/2341) [European Union]
- (G) Law on Energy and Climate (Article 29) [France]
- (H) MiFID II (2017/565) [European Union]
- (I) Modern Slavery Act [United Kingdom]
- (J) PEPP Regulation (2019/1238) [European Union]
- (K) PRIIPS Regulation (2016/2340 and 2014/286) [European Union]
- (L) Regulation on the Integration of Sustainability Risks in the Governance of Insurance and Reinsurance Undertakings (2021/1256) [European Union]
- (M) SFDR Regulation (2019/2088) [European Union]
- (N) SRD II (Directive 2017/828) [European Union]
- (O) The Occupational Pension Schemes Regulation on Climate Change Governance and Reporting [United Kingdom]
- (P) Climate Risk Management (Guideline B-15) [Canada]
- (Q) Continuous Disclosure Obligations (National Instrument 51-102) [Canada]
- (R) Disposiciones de Carácter General Aplicables a los Fondos de Inversión y a las Personas que les Prestan Servicios (SIEFORE) [Mexico]
- (S) Instrucciones para la Integración de Datores ASG en Los Mecanismos de Revelación de Información para FIC (External Circular 005, updated) [Colombia]
- (T) Provides for the creation, operation, and disclosure of information of investment funds, as well as the provision of services for the funds, and revokes the regulations that specifies (CVM Resolution No. 175) [Brazil]
- (U) SEC Expansion of the Names Rule [United States of America]
- (V) SEC Pay Ratio Disclosure Rule [United States of America]
- (W) ASIC RG65 Section 1013DA Disclosure Guidelines [Australia]
- (X) Circular to Licensed Corporations: Management and Disclosure of Climate-related Risks by Fund Managers [Hong Kong SAR]
- (Y) Financial Investment Services and Capital Markets Act (FSCMA) [Republic of Korea]
- (Z) Financial Instruments and Exchange Act (FIEA) [Japan]
- (AA) Financial Markets Conduct Act [New Zealand]
- (AB) Guiding Opinions on Regulating the Asset Management Business of Financial Institutions [China]
- (AC) Guidelines on Environmental Risk Management for Asset Managers [Singapore]
- (AD) Guidelines on Sustainable and Responsible Investment Funds [Malaysia]
- (AE) Modern Slavery Act (2018) [Australia]

- (AF) Stewardship Code for all Mutual Funds and All Categories of AIFs [India]
- (AG) ADGM Sustainable Finance Regulatory Framework [United Arab Emirates]
- (AH) JSE Limited Listings Requirements [South Africa]
- (AI) Other
- (AJ) Other
- (AK) Other
- (AL) Other
- (AM) Other
- (AN) Not applicable; our organisation did not report to any ESG-related legislation and/or regulation during the reporting year.

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| ORO 2 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Other Responsible Investment Reporting Obligations | 6 |

During the reporting year, to which voluntary responsible investment/ESG frameworks did your organisation report?

- (A) Asset Owners Stewardship Code [Australia]
- (B) Código Brasileiro de Stewardship [Brazil]
- (C) New Zealand Stewardship Code
- (D) Principles for Responsible Institutional Investors (Stewardship Code) [Japan]
- (E) Stewardship Code [United Kingdom]
- (F) Stewardship Framework for Institutional Investors [United States of America]
- (G) CFA Institute ESG Disclosure Standards for Investment Products [Global]
- (H) Guidelines on Funds' Names using ESG or Sustainability-related Terms [European Union]
- (I) Luxflag ESG Label [Luxembourg]
- (J) RIAA Responsible Investment Certification Program [Australia]
- (K) SRI Label [France]
- (L) ANBIMA Code of Regulation and Best Practices of Investment Funds [Brazil]
- (M) Code for Institutional Investors 2022 [Malaysia]
- (N) Code for Responsible Investing in South Africa (CRISA 2) [South Africa]
- (O) Corporate Governance Guidelines [Canada]
- (P) Defined Contribution Code of Practice [United Kingdom]
- (Q) European Association for Investors in Non-Listed Real Estate Vehicles (INREV) Guidelines [Global]
- (R) Global ESG Benchmark for Real Assets (GRESB) [Global]**
- (S) Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN) Impact Reporting and Investment Standards (IRIS+) [Global]
- (T) OECD Guidelines for MNES - Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors [Global]
- (U) UN Guiding Principles (UNGP) on Business and Human Rights [Global]
- (V) Net Zero Asset Managers (NZAM) Initiative [Global]
- (W) Net-Zero Asset Owner Alliance (NZAOA) [Global]
- (X) Recommendations of the Taskforce for Climate-related Financial Disclosure (TCFD) [Global]
- (Y) The Net Zero Investment Framework (NZIF) 2.0 [Global]
- (Z) Recommendations of the Taskforce for Nature-related Financial Disclosure (TNFD) [Global]
- (AA) Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Standards [Global]
- (AB) IFC Performance Standard [Global]
- (AC) International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB) Standards [Global]**
- (AD) Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) Standards [Global]
- (AE) Other
- (AF) Other
- (AG) Other
- (AH) Other
- (AI) Other
- (AJ) Not applicable; our organisation did not report to any voluntary responsible investment/ESG frameworks during the reporting year.

ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)

ORGANISATIONAL INFORMATION

REPORTING YEAR

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| OO 1 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Reporting year | GENERAL |

What is the year-end date of the 12-month period you have chosen to report for PRI reporting purposes?

| | Date | Month | Year |
|--|------|-------|------|
| Year-end date of the 12-month period for PRI reporting purposes: | 15 | 06 | 2025 |

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

One year since last reporting.

SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------------------|---------------|
| OO 2 | CORE | N/A | OO 2.1 | PUBLIC | Subsidiary information | GENERAL |

Does your organisation have subsidiaries?

- (A) Yes
- (B) No

ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT

ALL ASSET CLASSES

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------|---------------|
| OO 4 | CORE | OO 3 | N/A | PUBLIC | All asset classes | GENERAL |

What are your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the reporting year, as indicated in [OO 1]?

USD

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries not part of row (B), and excluding the AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 1,977,270,282.00

(B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this submission, as indicated in [OO 2.2]

US\$ 0.00

(C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 0.00

ASSET BREAKDOWN

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|
| OO 5 | CORE | OO 3 | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Asset breakdown | GENERAL |

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total AUM at the end of the reporting year as indicated in [OO 1].

| | (1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM | (2) Percentage of Externally managed AUM |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| (A) Listed equity | 0% | 0% |
| (B) Fixed income | 0% | 0% |
| (C) Private equity | 0% | 0% |
| (D) Real estate | 0% | 0% |
| (E) Infrastructure | >75% | 0% |
| (F) Hedge funds | 0% | 0% |
| (G) Forestry | 0% | 0% |
| (H) Farmland | 0% | 0% |
| (I) Other | 0% | 0% |
| (J) Off-balance sheet | 0% | 0% |

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED INFRASTRUCTURE

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|------------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| OO 5.3 INF | CORE | OO 5 | N/A | PUBLIC | Asset breakdown: Internally managed infrastructure | GENERAL |

Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed infrastructure AUM.

(A) Data infrastructure >10-50%

(B) Diversified 0%

(C) Energy and water resources >10-50%

(D) Environmental services >0-10%

(E) Network utilities >0-10%

(F) Power generation (excl.
renewables) 0%

(G) Renewable power >10-50%

(H) Social infrastructure 0%

(I) Transport >10-50%

(J) Other >0-10%

(J) Other - Specify:

Jifmar: Marine services

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

As of 31.12.2024

GEOGRAPHICAL BREAKDOWN

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|------------------------|------------|------------|------------------------|---------------|
| OO 7 | CORE | Multiple, see guidance | N/A | PUBLIC | Geographical breakdown | GENERAL |

How much of your AUM in each asset class is invested in emerging markets and developing economies?

AUM in Emerging Markets and Developing Economies

(H) Infrastructure

(2) >0 to 10%

STEWARDSHIP

STEWARDSHIP

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|---------------|
| OO 8 | CORE | Multiple, see guidance | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Stewardship | GENERAL |

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities, excluding (proxy) voting, for any of your assets?

(7) Infrastructure

(A) Yes, through internal staff

(B) Yes, through service providers

(C) Yes, through external managers

(D) We do not conduct stewardship

ESG INCORPORATION

INTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| OO 11 | CORE | Multiple, see guidance | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Internally managed assets | 1 |

For each internally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors, to some extent, into your investment decisions?

(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions

(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions

(K) Infrastructure



ESG/SUSTAINABILITY FUNDS AND PRODUCTS

LABELLING AND MARKETING

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| OO 18 | CORE | OO 11–14 | OO 18.1 | PUBLIC | Labelling and marketing | 1 |

Do you explicitly market any of your products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable?

(A) Yes, we market products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable

Provide the percentage of total AUM that your ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products or funds represent:

>10-50%

(B) No, we do not offer products or funds explicitly marketed as ESG and/or sustainable

(C) Not applicable; we do not offer products or funds

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

Only 37.3% of the AUM is composed of Article 8 funds - previous funds despite their ESG characteristics have been classified as Article 6 funds.

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| OO 18.1 | CORE | OO 18 | OO 18.2 | PUBLIC | Labelling and marketing | 1 |

Do any of your ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds hold formal ESG and/or RI certification(s) or label(s) awarded by a third party?

- (A) Yes, our ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds hold formal labels or certifications
- (B) No, our ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds do not hold formal labels or certifications

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| OO 21 | CORE | Multiple indicators | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Summary of reporting requirements | GENERAL |

The following table shows which modules are mandatory or voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules. Where a module is voluntary, indicate if you wish to report on it.

| Applicable modules | (1) Mandatory to report (pre-filled based on previous responses) | (2.1) Voluntary to report. Yes, I want to opt-in to reporting on the module | (2.2) Voluntary to report. No, I want to opt-out of reporting on the module |
|---------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Policy, Governance and Strategy | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Confidence Building Measures | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| (K) Infrastructure | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

OTHER ASSET BREAKDOWNS

INFRASTRUCTURE: OWNERSHIP LEVEL

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| OO 27 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Infrastructure: Ownership level | GENERAL |

What is the percentage breakdown of your organisation's infrastructure assets by the level of ownership?

- (A) A majority stake (more than 50%)
Select from the list:
 - (1) >0 to 10%
 - (2) >10 to 50%
- (B) A significant minority stake (between 10–50%)
Select from the list:
 - (1) >0 to 10%
 - (2) >10 to 50%
 - (3) >50 to 75%
 - (4) >75%
- (C) A limited minority stake (less than 10%)
Select from the list:
 - (1) >0 to 10%
 - (2) >10 to 50%

INFRASTRUCTURE: STRATEGY

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| OO 28 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Infrastructure: Strategy | GENERAL |

What is the investment strategy for your infrastructure assets?

- (A) Core
- (B) Value added
- (C) Opportunistic
- (D) Other

INFRASTRUCTURE: TYPE OF ASSET

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| OO 29 | CORE | OO 21 | INF 1 | PUBLIC | Infrastructure: Type of asset | GENERAL |

What is the asset type of your infrastructure?

- (A) Greenfield
- (B) Brownfield

INFRASTRUCTURE: MANAGEMENT TYPE

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| OO 30 | CORE | OO 21 | Multiple, see guidance | PUBLIC | Infrastructure: Management type | GENERAL |

Who manages your infrastructure assets?

- (A) Direct management by our organisation
- (B) Third-party infrastructure operators that our organisation appoints
- (C) Other investors, infrastructure companies or their third-party operators
- (D) Public or government entities or their third-party operators

SUBMISSION INFORMATION

REPORT DISCLOSURE

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------|---------------|
| OO 32 | CORE | OO 3, OO 31 | N/A | PUBLIC | Report disclosure | GENERAL |

How would you like to disclose the detailed percentage figures you reported throughout the Reporting Framework?

- (A) Publish as absolute numbers
- (B) Publish as ranges

POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)

POLICY

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY ELEMENTS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 1 | CORE | OO 8, OO 9 | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy elements | 1, 2 |

Which elements are covered in your formal responsible investment policy(ies)?

- (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- (C) Guidelines on social factors
- (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes
- (F) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold
- (G) Guidelines on exclusions
- (H) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- (I) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees
- (J) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement
- (K) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders
- (M) Other responsible investment elements not listed here
 - (N) Our organisation does not have a formal responsible investment policy and/or our policy(ies) do not cover any responsible investment elements

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 2 | CORE | PGS 1 | Multiple, see guidance | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy elements | 1 |

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) include specific guidelines on systematic sustainability issues?

- (A) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)
- (B) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)
- (C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues

Specify:

Specific guidelines on environmental and social impacts. Our annual sustainability report also includes guidelines on systematic sustainability issues
- (D) Our formal responsible investment policy(ies) does not include guidelines on systematic sustainability issues

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 3 | CORE | PGS 1, PGS 2 | N/A | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy elements | 6 |

Which elements of your formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available?

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment

Add link:

https://www.marguerite.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/Marguerite_Sustainable-Investment-Policy_JULY-2022.pdf

(B) Guidelines on environmental factors

Add link:

https://www.marguerite.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/Marguerite_Sustainable-Investment-Policy_JULY-2022.pdf

(C) Guidelines on social factors

Add link:

https://www.marguerite.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/Marguerite_Sustainable-Investment-Policy_JULY-2022.pdf

(D) Guidelines on governance factors

Add link:

https://www.marguerite.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/Marguerite_Sustainable-Investment-Policy_JULY-2022.pdf

(E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes

Add link:

https://www.marguerite.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/Marguerite_Sustainable-Investment-Policy_JULY-2022.pdf

(F) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)

Add link:

https://www.marguerite.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/Marguerite_Sustainable-Investment-Policy_JULY-2022.pdf

(G) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)

Add link:

https://www.marguerite.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/Marguerite_Sustainable-Investment-Policy_JULY-2022.pdf

(H) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues

(I) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold

Add link:

https://www.marguerite.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/Marguerite_Sustainable-Investment-Policy_JULY-2022.pdf

(J) Guidelines on exclusions

Add link:

https://www.marguerite.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/Marguerite_Sustainable-Investment-Policy_JULY-2022.pdf

(L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees

Add link:

https://www.marguerite.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/Marguerite_Sustainable-Investment-Policy_JULY-2022.pdf

(N) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders

Add link:

https://www.marguerite.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/Marguerite_Sustainable-Investment-Policy_JULY-2022.pdf

- (Q) No elements of our formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 5 | CORE | PGS 1 | N/A | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy elements | 2 |

Which elements are covered in your organisation’s policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship?

- (A) Overall stewardship objectives
- (B) Prioritisation of specific ESG factors to be advanced via stewardship activities
- (C) Criteria used by our organisation to prioritise the investees, policy makers, key stakeholders, or other entities on which to focus our stewardship efforts
- (D) How different stewardship tools and activities are used across the organisation
- (E) Approach to escalation in stewardship
- (F) Approach to collaboration in stewardship
- (G) Conflicts of interest related to stewardship
- (H) How stewardship efforts and results are communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decision-making and vice versa
- (I) Other
- (J) None of the above elements is captured in our policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY COVERAGE

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 8 | CORE | PGS 1 | N/A | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy coverage | 1 |

What percentage of your total AUM is covered by the below elements of your responsible investment policy(ies)?

Combined AUM coverage of all policy elements

- (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
 - (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
 - (C) Guidelines on social factors
 - (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- (7) 100%

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 9 | CORE | PGS 2 | N/A | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy coverage | 1 |

What proportion of your AUM is covered by your formal policies or guidelines on climate change, human rights, or other systematic sustainability issues?

AUM coverage

| | |
|---|------------------------|
| (A) Specific guidelines on climate change | (1) for all of our AUM |
| (B) Specific guidelines on human rights | (1) for all of our AUM |
| (C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues | (1) for all of our AUM |

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 10 | CORE | OO 8, OO 9, PGS 1 | N/A | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy coverage | 2 |

Per asset class, what percentage of your AUM is covered by your policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship with investees?

(E) Infrastructure

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- (1) >0% to 10%
- (2) >10% to 20%
- (3) >20% to 30%
- (4) >30% to 40%
- (5) >40% to 50%
- (6) >50% to 60%
- (7) >60% to 70%
- (8) >70% to 80%
- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%

(11) 100%

GOVERNANCE

ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 11 | CORE | N/A | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Roles and responsibilities | 1 |

Which senior level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation have formal oversight over and accountability for responsible investment?

(A) Board members, trustees, or equivalent

(B) Senior executive-level staff, or equivalent

Specify:

CEO

(C) Investment committee, or equivalent

Specify:

Investment committee (for new investments)

(D) Head of department, or equivalent

(E) None of the above bodies and roles have oversight over and accountability for responsible investment

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|----------------------|------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 11.1 | CORE | PGS 1, PGS 2, PGS 11 | N/A | PUBLIC | Roles and responsibilities | 1, 2 |

Does your organisation's senior level body(ies) or role(s) have formal oversight over and accountability for the elements covered in your responsible investment policy(ies)?

(1) Board members, trustees, or equivalent

(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment

(B) Guidelines on environmental, social and/or governance factors

(C) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes

(D) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)

| | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| (E) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (F) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (G) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (H) Guidelines on exclusions | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (J) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (N) This role has no formal oversight over and accountability for any of the above elements covered in our responsible investment policy(ies) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 11.2 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Roles and responsibilities | 1 – 6 |

Does your organisation have governance processes or structures to ensure that your overall political engagement is aligned with your commitment to the principles of PRI, including any political engagement conducted by third parties on your behalf?

(A) Yes

Describe how you do this:

The sustainability policy and procedure applies to all aspects of our investment activity, it defines the principles of our stewardship approach towards policymakers. Marguerite has attended to meetings with the EU commissions and other public bodies linked to specific infrastructure sectors and as a member of the GIIA and LPEA, contributes to the actions of the association at the EU level.

- (B) No
- (C) Not applicable, our organisation does not conduct any form of political engagement directly or through any third parties

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 12 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Roles and responsibilities | 1 |

In your organisation, which internal or external roles are responsible for implementing your approach to responsible investment?

(A) Internal role(s)

Specify:

The CEO has overall responsibility for the definition and implementation of Marguerite's sustainability policy and procedure. He is assisted by an internal ESG team, with a dedicated ESG manager.

(B) External investment managers, service providers, or other external partners or suppliers

Specify:

Specialist ESG consultants, hired to lead ESG due diligences, the ESG data collection platform provider and the climate risk data provider.

- (C) We do not have any internal or external roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 13 | CORE | PGS 11 | N/A | PUBLIC | Roles and responsibilities | 1 |

Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your board members, trustees, or equivalent?

(A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our board members, trustees, or equivalent

Describe: (Voluntary)

We have an internal KPI to ensure ESG is at the agenda of board meetings at least once a year. Board minutes should include a specific mention.

- (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our board members, trustees, or equivalent

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 14 | CORE | PGS 11 | N/A | PUBLIC | Roles and responsibilities | 1 |

Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and are these KPIs linked to compensation?

(A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

Indicate whether these responsible investment KPIs are linked to compensation

(1) KPIs are linked to compensation

- (2) KPIs are not linked to compensation as these roles do not have variable compensation
- (3) KPIs are not linked to compensation even though these roles have variable compensation

Describe: (Voluntary)

Each member of the investment team is responsible of the implementation of the sustainability policy and procedure - assisted by the ESG team. The quality of application of the policy and procedure at Marguerite level and the sustainability KPIs (namely Sustainability contributions and ESG Focus Points) are used to assess the overall team performance on ESG factors and define the variable compensation on discretionary basis.

- (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

EXTERNAL REPORTING AND DISCLOSURES

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 16 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | External reporting and disclosures | 6 |

What elements are included in your regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of your AUM?

- (A) Any changes in policies related to responsible investment
- (B) Any changes in governance or oversight related to responsible investment
- (C) Stewardship-related commitments
- (D) Progress towards stewardship-related commitments
- (E) Climate-related commitments
- (F) Progress towards climate-related commitments
- (G) Human rights-related commitments
- (H) Progress towards human rights-related commitments
- (I) Commitments to other systematic sustainability issues
- (J) Progress towards commitments on other systematic sustainability issues
- (K) We do not include any of these elements in our regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of our AUM

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 17 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | External reporting and disclosures | 6 |

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose climate-related information in line with the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures' (TCFD) recommendations?

- (A) Yes, including governance-related recommended disclosures
 - (B) Yes, including strategy-related recommended disclosures
 - (C) Yes, including risk management-related recommended disclosures
 - (D) Yes, including applicable metrics and targets-related recommended disclosures
 - (E) None of the above
- Add link(s):

https://www.marguerite.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/06/Marguerite_2024-Annual-sustainability-report.pdf

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 19 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | External reporting and disclosures | 6 |

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement?

(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed all of our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement

Add link(s):

https://www.marguerite.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/06/Marguerite_2024-Annual-sustainability-report.pdf

- (B) Yes, we publicly disclosed some of our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- (C) No, we did not publicly disclose our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- (D) Not applicable, we were not members in or supporters of any trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement during the reporting year

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

See page 24 of our annual report

STRATEGY

CAPITAL ALLOCATION

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------------|---------------|
| PGS 20 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Capital allocation | 1 |

Which elements do your organisation-level exclusions cover?

- (A) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular sectors, products or services**
- (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular regions or countries**
- (C) Exclusions based on minimum standards of business practice aligned with international norms such as the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the International Bill of Human Rights, UN Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact**
- (D) Exclusions based on our organisation's climate change commitments**
- (E) Other elements**

Specify:

Exclusions based on the expectations from some core investors

- (F) Not applicable; our organisation does not have any organisation-level exclusions

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------------|---------------|
| PGS 21 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Capital allocation | 1 |

How does your responsible investment approach influence your strategic asset allocation process?

- (A) We incorporate ESG factors into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns**
 Select from dropdown list:
 - (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation**
 - (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
 - (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (B) We incorporate climate change–related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns**
 Select from dropdown list:
 - (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation**
 - (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
 - (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (C) We incorporate human rights–related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns**
 Select from dropdown list:
 - (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation**
 - (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
 - (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (D) We incorporate risks and opportunities related to other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns**
 - (E) We do not incorporate ESG factors, climate change, human rights or other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
 - (F) Not applicable; we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

STEWARDSHIP: OVERALL STEWARDSHIP STRATEGY

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---|---------------|
| PGS 22 | CORE | OO 8, OO 9 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy | 2 |

For the majority of AUM within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?

(5) Infrastructure

(A) Maximise our portfolio-level risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.

(B) Maximise our individual investments' risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we do not seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.

STEWARDSHIP: ENGAGEMENT WITH POLICY MAKERS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 39 | CORE | OO 8, OO 9 | PGS 39.1, PGS 39.2 | PUBLIC | Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers | 2 |

Did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach during the reporting year?

- (A) Yes, we engaged with policy makers directly
- (B) Yes, we engaged with policy makers through the leadership of or active participation in working groups or collaborative initiatives, including via the PRI
- (C) Yes, we were members of, supported, or were in another way affiliated with third party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policy makers, excluding the PRI
- (D) We did not engage with policy makers directly or indirectly during the reporting year beyond our membership in the PRI

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 39.1 | CORE | PGS 39 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers | 2 |

During the reporting year, what methods did you, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use to engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach?

- (A) We participated in 'sign-on' letters
- (B) We responded to policy consultations
- (C) We provided technical input via government- or regulator-backed working groups

Describe:

We provided feedback on the SFDR consultation - via the LPEA working group and to the NZAM initiative

- (D) We engaged policy makers on our own initiative

Describe:

We directly participated to discussing with European Commission policy makers on the integration of ESG topics in future investment strategies, including InvestEU.

- (E) Other methods

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 39.2 | CORE | PGS 39 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers | 2 |

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose details of your engagement with policy makers conducted as part of your responsible investment approach, including through external investment managers or service providers?

- (A) We publicly disclosed all our policy positions

Add link(s):

https://www.marguerite.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/Marguerite_Sustainable-Investment-Policy_JULY-2022.pdf

- (B) We publicly disclosed details of our engagements with policy makers

Add link(s):

https://www.marguerite.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/06/Marguerite_2024-Annual-sustainability-report.pdf

https://www.marguerite.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/Marguerite_Sustainable-Investment-Policy_JULY-2022.pdf

- (C) No, we did not publicly disclose details of our engagement with policy makers conducted as part of our responsible investment approach during the reporting year

CLIMATE CHANGE

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| PGS 41 | CORE | N/A | PGS 41.1 | PUBLIC | Climate change | General |

Has your organisation identified climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments?

(A) Yes, within our standard planning horizon

Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

The planning horizon of infrastructure investment is long by definition, in line with the life time of the infrastructure itself. Transport:

- Risks related to constraints on ICE vehicles, with a reducing need for greenfield roads (including negative impact on toll roads).

Potential increase of taxes on fuels used by airplanes and ships forcing the use of sustainable fuels (RED II, EU ETS 2).

- Opportunities related to non-ICE engines (electric, hydrogen), opportunities related to clean public transport (rail, buses, tramways)

Energy:

- Risk related to regulatory constraints on natural gas networks and distribution and potential reduction of the consumption driven by growing taxes

- Opportunities to development sustainable fuels for the transport and industry sectors

- Opportunities for the development of new renewable power plants (facilitated by policy support)

- Risk related to biomass supply, with forest being put under pressure by climate change (wildfires, droughts, illnesses...)

(B) Yes, beyond our standard planning horizon

(C) No, we have not identified climate-related risks and/or opportunities affecting our investments

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| PGS 41.1 | CORE | PGS 41 | N/A | PUBLIC | Climate change | General |

Does your organisation integrate climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments in its overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products?

(A) Yes, our overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products integrate climate-related risks and opportunities

Describe how climate-related risks and opportunities have affected or are expected to affect your investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products:

The investment strategy considers short, medium and long-term risks and opportunities related to climate mitigation and adaptation. We use sustainable investment classifications such as EU Taxonomy and the EIB Climate Bank Roadmap to prioritise sustainable investments, with a low risk of reduced financial performance due to climate change or future reduction in the attractiveness of the asset class to investors looking for sustainable investments. New funds will be particularly focused on the positive impact on the climate, the environment and the society, in line with investors' expectations. In assessing the valuation of our portfolio investments, we consider the risks of reduction in value due to climate-related issues, according to various climate scenarios. Marguerite joined the Net Zero Asset Managers initiative in 2024, we will continuously improve our climate risks assessment and reduce our exposure through climate scenario alignment of our portfolio companies. In 2025, we deployed Altitude by Axa Climate to improve our management of climate risks at portfolio level

(B) No, our organisation has not yet integrated climate-related risks and opportunities into its investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|

| | | | | | | |
|--------|------|-----|-----|--------|----------------|---------|
| PGS 43 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Climate change | General |
|--------|------|-----|-----|--------|----------------|---------|

Has your organisation assessed the resilience of its investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one in which the average temperature rise is held to below 2 degrees Celsius (preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius) above pre-industrial levels?

- (A) Yes, using the Inevitable Policy Response Forecast Policy Scenario (FPS) or Required Policy Scenario (RPS)
- (B) Yes, using the One Earth Climate Model scenario
- (C) Yes, using the International Energy Agency (IEA) Net Zero scenario

(D) Yes, using other scenarios

Specify:

Marguerite uses the NGFS scenarios to stress its investments but also considers the conditions set by the EIB and the EU taxonomy for infrastructure to be aligned with the Paris Agreement. As part of its commitment to Net Zero, Marguerite may consider IEA and OECM models.

- (E) No, we have not assessed the resilience of our investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one that holds temperature rise to below 2 degrees

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|

| | | | | | | |
|--------|------|-----|-----|--------|----------------|---------|
| PGS 44 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Climate change | General |
|--------|------|-----|-----|--------|----------------|---------|

Does your organisation have a process to identify, assess, and manage the climate-related risks (potentially) affecting your investments?

(A) Yes, we have a process to identify and assess climate-related risks

(1) Describe your process

When analysing prospective investments and presenting investment proposals to the investment committee, we perform an early evaluation of sustainability contributions, as well as main ESG risks and opportunities. As part of this analysis, we assess each type of climate risks accounting for the asset class and the location using the EU taxonomy list of physical risks and the standard TCFD transition risks. Financial impacts of climate risks and opportunities are included in the overall evaluation.

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

Climate-related risks and opportunities are assessed and presented by the investment team, with the supervision of the risk manager.

(B) Yes, we have a process to manage climate-related risks

(1) Describe your process

During asset management, we continue to track ESG (including climate) action plan related to risks and opportunities identified during due diligence, monitor ESG performance, engage with the investment company to minimise ESG risks and benefit from ESG opportunities. We report outcomes to our investors.

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

Climate-related risks and opportunities are managed by the investment team, with the supervision of the risk manager.

- (C) No, we do not have any processes to identify, assess, or manage the climate-related risks affecting our investments

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| PGS 45 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Climate change | General |

During the reporting year, which of the following climate risk metrics or variables affecting your investments did your organisation use and publicly disclose?

- (A) Exposure to physical risk**
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric or variable used**
 - (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- (B) Exposure to transition risk**
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric or variable used**
 - (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- (C) Internal carbon price**
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric or variable used**
 - (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- (D) Total carbon emissions**
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric or variable used
 - (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed**
 - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
 - (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable
https://www.marguerite.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/06/Marguerite_2024-Annual-sustainability-report.pdf
- (E) Weighted average carbon intensity**
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric or variable used**
 - (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- (F) Avoided emissions**
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric or variable used
 - (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed**
 - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
 - (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable
https://www.marguerite.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/06/Marguerite_2024-Annual-sustainability-report.pdf
- (G) Implied Temperature Rise (ITR)
- (H) Non-ITR measure of portfolio alignment with UNFCCC Paris Agreement goals
- (I) Proportion of assets or other business activities aligned with climate-related opportunities**
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric or variable used**
 - (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- (J) Other metrics or variables
 - (K) Our organisation did not use or publicly disclose any climate risk metrics or variables affecting our investments during the reporting year

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| PGS 46 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Climate change | General |

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, and/or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions?

(A) Scope 1 emissions

(1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology

o (1) Metric disclosed

● **(2) Metric and methodology disclosed**

(2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

https://www.marguerite.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/06/Marguerite_2024-Annual-sustainability-report.pdf

(B) Scope 2 emissions

(1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology

o (1) Metric disclosed

● **(2) Metric and methodology disclosed**

(2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

https://www.marguerite.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/06/Marguerite_2024-Annual-sustainability-report.pdf

(C) Scope 3 emissions (including financed emissions)

(1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology

o (1) Metric disclosed

● **(2) Metric and methodology disclosed**

(2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

https://www.marguerite.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/06/Marguerite_2024-Annual-sustainability-report.pdf

o (D) Our organisation did not publicly disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions during the reporting year

SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 47 | CORE | N/A | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Sustainability outcomes | 1, 2 |

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

● **(A) Yes, we have identified one or more specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities**

o (B) No, we have not yet identified the sustainability outcomes connected to any of our investment activities

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 47.1 | CORE | PGS 47 | N/A | PUBLIC | Sustainability outcomes | 1, 2 |

Which widely recognised frameworks has your organisation used to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
- (B) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement
- (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
- (D) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors
- (E) The EU Taxonomy
- (F) Other relevant taxonomies
- (G) The International Bill of Human Rights
- (H) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core conventions
- (I) The Convention on Biological Diversity
- (J) Other international framework(s)
- (K) Other regional framework(s)
- (L) Other sectoral/issue-specific framework(s)
- (M) Our organisation did not use any widely recognised frameworks to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 47.2 | CORE | PGS 47 | PGS 48 | PUBLIC | Sustainability outcomes | 1, 2 |

What are the primary methods that your organisation has used to determine the most important intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- (A) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to our core investment activities
- (B) Consult with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities
- (C) Assess which actual or potential negative outcomes for people are most severe based on their scale, scope, and irremediable character
- (D) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to systematic sustainability issues
- (E) Analyse the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society, trade unions or similar)
- (F) Understand the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives
- (G) Other method
- (H) We have not yet determined the most important sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 48 | CORE | PGS 47.2 | PGS 48.1, SO 1 | PUBLIC | Sustainability outcomes | 1, 2 |

Has your organisation taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities, including to prevent and mitigate actual and potential negative outcomes?

- (A) Yes, we have taken action on some of the specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

- (B) No, we have not yet taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

INFRASTRUCTURE (INF)

POLICY

INVESTMENT GUIDELINES

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| INF 1 | CORE | OO 21, OO 29, OO 30 | N/A | PUBLIC | Investment guidelines | 1 to 6 |

What infrastructure-specific ESG guidelines are currently covered in your organisation's responsible investment policy(ies)?

- (A) Guidelines on our ESG approach tailored to each infrastructure sector and geography where we invest
- (B) Guidelines on our ESG approach to greenfield investments
- (C) Guidelines on our ESG approach to brownfield investments
- (D) Guidelines on pre-investment screening
- (E) Guidelines on our approach to ESG integration into short-term or 100-day plans (or equivalent)
- (F) Guidelines on our approach to ESG integration into long-term value-creation efforts
- (G) Guidelines on our approach to ESG reporting
- (H) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to the workforce
- (J) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to contractors
- (K) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to other external stakeholders, e.g. governments, local communities, and end-users
- (L) Our responsible investment policy(ies) does not cover infrastructure-specific ESG guidelines

FUNDRAISING

COMMITMENTS TO INVESTORS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| INF 2 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Commitments to investors | 1, 4 |

For all of the funds that you closed during the reporting year, what type of formal responsible investment commitments did you make in Limited Partnership Agreements (LPAs), side letters, or other constitutive fund documents?

- (A) We incorporated responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) as a standard default procedure
- (B) We added responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) upon a client's request
- (C) We added responsible investment commitments in side letters upon a client's request
- (D) We did not make any formal responsible investment commitments for the relevant reporting year
- (E) Not applicable; we have not raised funds in the last five years

PRE-INVESTMENT

MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------------|---------------|
| INF 3 | CORE | OO 21 | INF 3.1 | PUBLIC | Materiality analysis | 1 |

During the reporting year, how did you conduct ESG materiality analysis for your potential infrastructure investments?

- (A) We assessed ESG materiality at the asset level, as each case is unique
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
 - (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments
 - (B) We performed a mix of industry-level and asset-level ESG materiality analyses
 - (C) We assessed ESG materiality at the industry level only
 - (D) We did not conduct ESG materiality analysis for our potential infrastructure investments

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------------|---------------|
| INF 3.1 | CORE | INF 3 | N/A | PUBLIC | Materiality analysis | 1 |

During the reporting year, what tools, standards and data did you use in your ESG materiality analysis of potential infrastructure investments?

- (A) We used GRI standards to inform our infrastructure ESG materiality analysis
- (B) We used SASB standards to inform our infrastructure ESG materiality analysis
- (C) We used the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to inform our infrastructure ESG materiality analysis
- (D) We used the GRESB Materiality Assessment (RC7) or similar to inform our infrastructure ESG materiality analysis
- (E) We used the environmental and social factors detailed in the IFC Performance Standards (or similar standards used by development finance institutions) in our infrastructure ESG materiality analysis
- (F) We used climate disclosures, such as the TCFD recommendations or other climate risk and/or exposure analysis tools, to inform our infrastructure ESG materiality analysis
- (G) We used the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs) to inform our infrastructure ESG materiality analysis
- (H) We used geopolitical and macro-economic considerations in our infrastructure ESG materiality analysis
- (I) We engaged with existing owners and/or managers (or developers for new infrastructure assets) to inform our infrastructure ESG materiality analysis
- (J) Other
 - Specify:

We assess positive impacts towards UN SDG goals. We also used internal list of ESG focus points derived from other ESG frameworks, including GRESB, SASB.

DUE DILIGENCE

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| INF 4 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Due diligence | 1 |

During the reporting year, how did material ESG factors influence the selection of your infrastructure investments?

- (A) Material ESG factors were used to identify risks**
Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
 - (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (B) Material ESG factors were discussed by the investment committee (or equivalent)**
Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
 - (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (C) Material ESG factors were used to identify remedial actions for our 100-day plans (or equivalent)**
Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
 - (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (D) Material ESG factors were used to identify opportunities for value creation**
Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
 - (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (E) Material ESG factors informed our decision to abandon potential investments in the due diligence phase in cases where ESG risks were considered too high to mitigate**
Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
 - (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (F) Material ESG factors impacted investments in terms of the price offered and/or paid**
Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
 - (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (G) Material ESG factors did not influence the selection of our infrastructure investments

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| INF 5 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Due diligence | 1 |

Once material ESG factors have been identified, what processes do you use to conduct due diligence on these factors for potential infrastructure investments?

- (A) We conduct a high-level or desktop review against an ESG checklist for initial red flags**
Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
 - (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments

- (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (B) We send detailed ESG questionnaires to target assets**
Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments**
 - (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (C) We hire third-party consultants to do technical due diligence on specific material ESG factors**
Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
 - (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments**
 - (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (D) We conduct site visits**
Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments**
 - (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (E) We conduct in-depth interviews with management and/or personnel**
Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments**
 - (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (F) We conduct detailed external stakeholder analyses and/or engagement**
Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
 - (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments**
 - (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (G) We incorporate ESG due diligence findings in all of our relevant investment process documentation in the same manner as other key due diligence, e.g. commercial, accounting and legal**
Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments**
 - (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (H) Our investment committee (or an equivalent decision-making body) is ultimately responsible for ensuring all ESG due diligence is completed in the same manner as for other key due diligence, e.g. commercial, accounting and legal**
Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments**
 - (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (I) Other
- (J) We do not conduct due diligence on material ESG factors for potential infrastructure investments

POST-INVESTMENT

MONITORING

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| INF 9 | CORE | OO 21 | INF 9.1 | PUBLIC | Monitoring | 1 |

During the reporting year, did you track one or more KPIs on material ESG factors across your infrastructure investments?

- (A) Yes, we tracked KPIs on environmental factors**
Percentage of infrastructure assets this applies to:
 - (1) >0 to 10%
 - (2) >10 to 50%
 - (3) >50 to 75%
 - (4) >75 to 95%

- (5) >95%
- (B) Yes, we tracked KPIs on social factors
 - Percentage of infrastructure assets this applies to:
 - (1) >0 to 10%
 - (2) >10 to 50%
 - (3) >50 to 75%
 - (4) >75 to 95%
 - (5) >95%
- (C) Yes, we tracked KPIs on governance factors
 - Percentage of infrastructure assets this applies to:
 - (1) >0 to 10%
 - (2) >10 to 50%
 - (3) >50 to 75%
 - (4) >75 to 95%
 - (5) >95%
- (D) We did not track KPIs on material ESG factors across our infrastructure investments

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| INF 10 | CORE | OO 21, OO 30 | INF 10.1 | PUBLIC | Monitoring | 1, 2 |

What processes do you have in place to support meeting your targets on material ESG factors for your infrastructure investments?

- (A) We use operational-level benchmarks to assess and analyse the performance of assets against sector performance
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
 - (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
- (B) We implement international best practice standards such as the IFC Performance Standards to guide ongoing assessments and analyses
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
 - (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
- (C) We implement certified environmental and social management systems across our portfolio
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
 - (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
- (D) We make sufficient budget available to ensure that the systems and procedures needed are established
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
 - (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
- (E) We hire external verification services to audit performance, systems, and procedures
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
 - (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
- (G) We develop minimum health and safety standards
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
 - (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
- (H) We conduct ongoing engagement with all key stakeholders, e.g. local communities, NGOs, governments, and end-users
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our infrastructure investments

- (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
- (I) Other
- (J) We do not have processes in place to help meet our targets on material ESG factors for our infrastructure investments

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| INF 11 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Monitoring | 1, 2 |

Post-investment, how do you manage material ESG risks and ESG opportunities to create value during the holding period of your investments?

(A) We develop asset-specific ESG action plans based on pre-investment research, due diligence and materiality findings

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our infrastructure investments**
- (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments

(B) We adjust our ESG action plans based on performance monitoring findings at least yearly

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our infrastructure investments**
- (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments

(C) We, or the external advisors that we hire, support our infrastructure investments with specific ESG value-creation opportunities

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our infrastructure investments**
- (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments

(D) Other

Specify:

We share our ESG action plans with portfolio companies (feedback reports) and organise monitoring and reporting.

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our infrastructure investments**
- (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
- (E) We do not manage material ESG risks and opportunities post-investment

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| INF 14 | CORE | OO 21 | INF 14.1 | PUBLIC | Monitoring | 1, 2 |

How do you ensure that adequate ESG-related competence exists at the asset level?

(A) We assign our board responsibility for ESG matters

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our infrastructure investments**
- (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments

(B) We ensure that material ESG matters are discussed by our board at least yearly

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our infrastructure investments**
- (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments

- (C) We provide training on ESG aspects and management best practices relevant to the asset to C-suite executives only
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
 - (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
- (D) We provide training on ESG aspects and management best practices relevant to the asset to employees (excl. C-suite executives)
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
 - (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
- (E) We support the asset by finding external ESG expertise, e.g. consultants or auditors
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
 - (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
- (F) We share best practices across assets, e.g. educational sessions and the implementation of environmental and social management systems
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
 - (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
- (G) We apply penalties or incentives to improve ESG performance in management remuneration schemes
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
 - (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
- (H) Other
 - (I) We do not ensure that adequate ESG-related competence exists at the asset level

EXIT

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| INF 16 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Exit | 4, 6 |

During the reporting year, what responsible investment information was shared with potential buyers of infrastructure investments?

- (A) Our firm's high-level commitment to responsible investment, e.g. that we are a PRI signatory
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
 - (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
- (B) A description of what industry and asset class standards our firm aligns with, e.g. TCFD or GRESB
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
 - (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
- (C) Our firm's responsible investment policy (at minimum, a summary of key aspects and firm-specific approach)
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
 - (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
 - (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
- (D) Our firm's ESG risk assessment methodology (topics covered in-house and/or with external support)
- (E) The outcome of our latest ESG risk assessment on the asset or portfolio company
- (F) Key ESG performance data on the asset or portfolio company being sold
 - Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
- (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments

(G) Other

Specify:

We shared the main sustainability contributions of the asset aligned with UN SDG goals and targets with the related KPIs (if any).

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
- (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
- (H) No responsible investment information was shared with potential buyers of infrastructure investments during the reporting year
- (I) Not applicable; we had no sales process (or control over the sales process) during the reporting year

DISCLOSURE OF ESG PORTFOLIO INFORMATION

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---|---------------|
| INF 17 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Disclosure of ESG portfolio information | 6 |

During the reporting year, how did you report your targets on material ESG factors and related data to your investors?

- (A) We reported through a publicly-disclosed sustainability report
- (B) We reported in aggregate through formal reporting to investors
- (C) We reported at the asset level through formal reporting to investors
- (D) We reported through a limited partners advisory committee (or equivalent)
- (E) We reported at digital or physical events or meetings with investors
- (F) We had a process in place to ensure that reporting on serious ESG incidents occurred
- (G) Other
- (H) We did not report our targets on material ESG factors and related data to our investors during the reporting year

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

APPROACH TO CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------|------------|--|---------------|
| CBM 1 | CORE | N/A | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Approach to confidence-building measures | 6 |

How did your organisation verify the information submitted in your PRI report this reporting year?

- (A) We conducted independent third-party assurance of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls or governance processes to be able to conduct independent third-party assurance next year
- (C) We conducted an internal audit of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report
- (D) Our board, trustees (or equivalent), senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and/or investment committee (or equivalent) signed off on our PRI report
- (E) Our responses in selected sections and/or the entirety of our PRI report were internally reviewed before submission to the PRI
- (F) We did not verify the information submitted in our PRI report this reporting year

INTERNAL AUDIT

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| CBM 4 | CORE | OO 21, CBM 1 | N/A | PUBLIC | Internal audit | 6 |

What responsible investment processes and/or data were audited through your internal audit function?

- (A) Policy, governance and strategy
Select from dropdown list:
 - (1) Data internally audited
 - (2) Processes internally audited
 - (3) Processes and data internally audited
- (G) Infrastructure
Select from dropdown list:
 - (1) Data internally audited
 - (2) Processes internally audited
 - (3) Processes and data internally audited

INTERNAL REVIEW

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|
| CBM 6 | CORE | CBM 1 | N/A | PUBLIC | Internal review | 6 |

Who in your organisation reviewed the responses submitted in your PRI report this year?

- (A) Board, trustees, or equivalent
- (B) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent
 - Sections of PRI report reviewed
 - (1) the entire report
 - (2) selected sections of the report
 - (C) None of the above internal roles reviewed selected sections or the entirety of the responses submitted in our PRI report this year